Position Statement

on

The Chemical Identity of Fragrances

(Approved by the Board of Directors October 24, 1998)

The American Academy of Dermatology (AAD) supports identification of the common allergens of fragrances in all formulations of cosmetics, prescription and non-prescription drugs. The Academy urges the Cosmetic, Toiletry and Fragrance Association (CTFA) to work with national and international fragrance trade associations, manufacturers, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the AAD to obtain agreement on the disclosure of fragrance ingredients.

The Academy believes that consumers should be provided with all of the product information that they need to make the best choices to protect their health. The addition of fragrance to a product, whether to enhance the appeal of the product or to mask an unappealing odor, creates an avoidable risk of irritant or allergic reaction to fragrance-sensitive persons. In the absence of identifying the common allergens of fragrances, the fragrance-sensitive consumer is often advised to avoid the use entirely of all cosmetics, prescription and over-the-counter (OTC) drugs which list the generic term 'fragrance' in the ingredient labeling.

Current Requirements for Ingredient Identification

Cosmetics, OTC and Prescription Drugs

At present, identification of ingredients for cosmetics relies upon voluntary disclosure by manufacturers to the FDA. Labeling of cosmetics is subject to provisions of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic (FD&C) Act and the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act. The Act requires that ingredients in cosmetics be listed in descending order by quantity. Fragrances, unless voluntarily disclosed by the manufacturer, must only be listed as 'fragrance'. There is no requirement that the specific content of a fragrance be disclosed.

The FDA mandates ingredient identification for over-the-counter (OTC) and prescription drugs. Cosmetics which are also intended to treat or prevent disease, or affect the structure or functions of the human body (i.e. fluoride toothpaste, sun tan creams/oils) must comply with both cosmetic and drug provisions of the law. The law requires the active ingredient(s) to be listed first, followed by all the inactive cosmetic ingredients. Products with such a dual classification must be scientifically proven safe and effective for their therapeutic claims before they are marketed. While labeling requirements for OTC and prescription drugs are significantly more rigorous, little is codified with regard to identification and labeling of inactive ingredients. In fact, according to language in a currently proposed FDA rule, "OTC drug products that are not also cosmetics are not currently required to list inactive ingredients on their labeling". For prescription drugs, there is also no requirement that the specific content of a fragrance be disclosed. The
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label must state simply, 'perfume'.

Health Effects and Economic Impact of Fragrance Sensitivity

Academy Guidelines of Care for Contact Dermatitis note that a complaint of contact dermatitis is responsible for approximately 5.7 million physician visits a year. While only a portion of these visits are the result of an exposure to an offending fragrance, medical literature estimates that one-percent of the general population suffers from fragrance allergies. This equates to 2.5 million individuals. Fragrance allergy is the number one cause of cosmetic contact dermatitis in the U.S. Studies suggest that there is a trend of increasing sensitization to fragrances worldwide. In addition, studies of contact dermatitis resulting from product exposure list fragrance as among the top 5 causative agents. The economic impact of allergic dermatitis resulting from fragrance exposure is significant. An estimation of the dollar impact would have to consider the loss of sales to persons avoiding the use of products listing the generic 'fragrance' on the label, and the time lost from work. In the occupational setting, contact dermatitis accounts for more than 50 percent of all occupational illness, a portion of which is from fragrance.

Commitment to Effective Solution

The Academy acknowledges the concern expressed by the fragrance industry for the protection of trade secrets. The AAD believes we can work together to find an acceptable fragrance ingredient disclosure that preserves proprietary information.
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21CFR200.100 Subpart D - Exemptions From Adequate Directions for Use
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